Invest	

Investment Objective	High Level Risk	Detailed Risk	Impact Likelihood		Controls	Source of Assurance	Impact Likelihood		Review Date	Action Description	Outcome of Review	Owner
2.1 The <u>actual</u> return of the Funds 'neutral' <u>and / or 'tactical'</u> Strategic Asset Allocation is capable of exceeding the return assumption (i.e. the Discount Rate / AOA) of the Actuary used in the triennial valuation.	valuation OP to take more	Failure of the investment consultant to take account of the Actuarial assumptions in advising on the Strategic Asset Allocation		Risk Score	Strategic Asset Allocation review is being carried out in tandem with the funding strategy review to ensure the consistency of assumptions used by the actuary in setting contribution rates. Ensuring the Actuary and Investment Consultant understand each others assumptions and ensure they are consistent. Using stochastic modelling to show a range of outcomes and reporting and consulting on the assumption through the	Pensions Committee receive report from consultant to demonstrate consistency and outcome from Asset Liability modelling. Additional paper produced by Hymans justfying asset outperformance assumption. No issues from Regulation 13 report, GAD. SAA review with investment consultant.		risk Score		Strategic asset allocation review as par of 2022 actuarial valuation has been carried out. 2024 review to be carried out with Actuary in advance of 2025 vaulation. Separate investment strategies for some employers to be considered.		Pensions Committee
		Failure of the Actuary to model the impact of the Strategic Asset Allocation in setting contribution rates	5 3 5 3		Use of asset liability moddeling to understand the range of possible outcomes. Use of stabilisation policy	•	4 2		Mar-2 Mar-2	SAA will be taken into account in setting contribution rates, ahead of 2025 valuation		Pensions Committee
		Failure to clearly explain the impact of the Strategic Asset Allocation in the Funding Strategy Statement (FSS) and failure to consult on the assumptions	2 3	s 6	Funding Strategy Statement clearly explains the impact.	Responses to consultation are taken into account. Pension Board, Pensions Committee, Appendix D in FSS	2 1	2	Mar-2	Pensions committee will be consulted on asumptions, FSS to be updated post valuation results. New guidance to be considered due before actuarial 5 valuation.		Pensions Committee
		Failure of LGPS Cental to offer a suitable range of products to meet the requirements of the Fund's SAA	3 4	12	Other managers can be appointed to fulfill required SAA. PAF investment working group, project development protocol, decision tree.	Pensions Committee, LGPS cental joint committee, DLUHC draft regulations, range of LGPSC products available is increasing	3 2	6	ongoing	DLUHC Consultation allows comply or explain.		Pensions Panel
2.2 The return of the 'actual / tactical' Strategic Asset Allocation (determined by the Pensions Panel) exceeds the return of the 'neutral' Strategic Asset Allocation	2.2 The actual/ tactical investment strategy (determined by the Panel) fails to exceed the return of the neutral SAA	using up to gate market values	4 3	3 12	Actual/ tactical SAA position is monitored monthly and updated to the latest values regularly	Use of benchmark indices to value positions. Valuations from custodian and managers	4 2		Monthly	Up to date fund valuations are produced on the last day of each month, and periodically as required. No tactical positions taken currently, SAA to be reviewed in advance of 2025 valuation. Impliamentation of previous 2022 review ongoing.	l	MS
		Failure to report, record and monitor the actual/ tactical SAA compared to the neutral SAA taken by the Pension Panel and to them			Actual/ tactical SAA position is reported to Pension Panel quarterly, decisions made by the Panel properly recorded and the outcome monitored. Performance measurer reports tactical returns vs neutral SAA returns benchmark.	quarterly SAA repot/ valuation and Fund	4 2		Monthly	Pensions Panel reviews the SAA report and takes mitigating action where required. Working documents, custody records and performance records updated	Panel recommends an amendment to actual/ tactical SAA	Pensions Panel
2.3 To achieve performance above the return of the 'neutral / tactical' strategic benchmark return, through the appointment of active managers, where appropriate.	outperformance (net of	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to conduct a robust due diligence process in appointing active managers including where appropriate an open competition compliant with public sector procurement regulations		16	Active managers are appointed by SPF/LGPS Central through robust competitive process, where required	Use of appropriate procurement process compliant with public sector procurement regulations if relevant, including the use of consultant advice as appropriate (LGPS Central use private procurement process)	4 2		Quarterly Quarterly, with a long term focus	LGPS Cental agreed to involve Partner Fund representitives in oversight of appointment process (not decision)		Pensions Panel

	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to to ensure managers in the same asset class are complimentary	4	4 16	Active managers in the same asset class are complimentary. Investment advisers review managers/funds in each asset class periodically. LGPS Central use style analytics tools.	Consultant involved in product development and due diligence process/PAF-IWG/LGPS Central Joint Committee. Investment advisers prduce a suitability report prior to investment. Performance measurer report. LGPSC 3 yearly reviews.	3	4 12	Quarterly, with a 5 year focus	LGPS Cental agreed to involve Partner Fund representitives in oversight of appointment process (not decision)		Pensions Panel
	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to consider whether active managers can add value and whether the benchmark and target level of performance allows sufficient scope to deliver their target			Active managers are appointed where it is clear they may add value and their benchmark and performance target allow them scope to deliver				Quarterly, with a 5 year focus	LGPS Cental agreed to involve Partner Fund representitives in oversight of appointment process (not decision).		Pensions Panel
	Failure to report asset manager performance to the Pension Panel or to include annual (and longer term) performance in the Annual Report	4	4 16	Asset manager performance is reported regularly to the Pension Panel and in the Annual Report	LGPS Central	3	4 12	Quarterly	Procurement process underway to appoint new performance measurer following PEL's decision to closeNT appointed.		MS
	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to regularly review and understand the reasons for the level of performance of managers			Asset managers are regularly reviewed to ensure changes to key personnel or the investment process do not undermine the reasons for appointing them	Pension Panel, Pension Board, LGPS Central Joint Committee, PAF-				Mangers appointed by LGPS Central invited to attend quarterly PAF IWG meetings where concerns about performance are raised, Manager days. LGPSC		Pensions Panel
	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to remove mangers who fail to deliver expected performance	5	3 12	Active managers are sacked or holdings reduced if they do not deliver outperformance, the fund has the right to withdraw its investment if performance is not met	IWG Manager removal or reduction in AUM, Meetings with LGPS Central and mangers, Consultant comments, Manager presentations to Pension Panel, Pension Board, Joint Committee, PAF-IWG	3	2 6	Quarterly ongoing, long term focus	3 yearly review. Mangers appointed by LGPS Central invited to attend quarterly PAF IWG meetings where concerns about performance are raised. LGPSC 3 yearly review. Central have demonstrated ability to		Pensions Panel
	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to understand the reasons for removing managers leading to high turnover of managers and significant transition costs	4		Manager processes are understood and clear reasoning is presented to LGPS Central/Panel to approve any removal of a manager	Meetings with LGPS Central and mangers, Consultant comments, Manager presentations to Pension Panel, Pension Board, Joint Committee, PAF-IWG	4		ongoing	take action.		Pensions Panel
	Failure to maintain a 'Professional Client status' with investment managers and LGPS Central under MIFID II regulations. Limiting diversification and markets available, therefore potentially reducing returns on investments. Ongoing compliance with criteria is required.	3	2	Being an administering authority of a Pension fund is one of the criteria, along with investment balances of over £10m, which the fund is likely to always have.	Continuous monitoring by officers of investment	3		ongoing	MIFID II documents are regularly updtade as they are requested by managers		MS
erstand between nagers ons to	Failure to consider and address the impact of asset correlation			Asset Liablility Modelling undertaken as part of Strategic Asset Allocation review in order to determine likely investment returns for 20 years. This includes asset correlation across return seeking and	Meetings with mangers, Consultant comments, Manager presentations to Pension Panel, Pension Board. Annual SAA review.						Pensions Panel
	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to consider and address the impact of manager correlation	4	4 16	Managers strategies are understood to ensure any strategy overlap is minimised. LGPS Central use style analytics. Use of	Manager fit is understood on appointment, Manager monitoring, Consultant comments, Performance measurement, Joint Committee, PAF-IWG,	4	2 8	Quarterly		likelihood increased due to upcoming implementaion of new SAA following review.	Pensions Panel
		4	4 16	investment adviser.	Investment advisers, 3 vear review of LGPS	3	4 12	Quarterly			

2.4 To ensure that asset classes and 2.4 To ensure that asset classes and managers are understood together with their returns and correlations to each their returns and correlations to each and their correlations other

2.4 Failure to underst each other.

	Failure to consider and address any systemic risk factors across the fund	4 5	5 20	Macroeconomic & RI factors are understood, Manager awareness of global trends and potential risk areas, The fund has a long term investment strategy, diversification of investments. Impact of Currency risk on	mangers, Consultant	3	4	12 Quarterly		Likelihood inceased due to combination of curren macroeconomic factors, eg inflation, energy prices, covid, geopolitica events, climate change	t Pensions Panel
	Failure to consider and address currency risk			fund value is understood and accepted (As a long term investor with no immediate need to sell assets short term fluctuations have a limited impact). Awareness of Currency market fluctuations. Appropriate currency hedging policy is in	Quarterly strategic review, Consultant comments, Pension Panel, Pension Board				No current requirement for Currency Hedging but need to consider process for implementing Currency Hedging if required in future (LGPS Central?), will be reviewed as part of SAA		Pensions Panel
	Failure to consider and address risk from leveraged investment funds	4 3		Impact of leverage on the Fund is understood. Amount of leverage within investment funds is understood and limited.	Consultants, Manager due diligence, LGPS Central, PAF-IWG, information in fund prospectus, LGPS Central fund design includes parameters on leverage.	3	2	12 Quarterly 6 Ad hoc			Pensions Panel
2.5 To ensure the Fund takes account of 2.5 Failure to take Responsible Investment (RI) factors in its investment decisions. 2.5 Failure to take account of RI factors in investment decisions	Failure for the SPF/ LGPS Central to have a RI beliefs and appropriate policies in place.	3 3	3	Fund Policies in place and complied with. All fund managers signed up to UNPRI. All fund managers report quarterly on Voting and Engagement. Quarterly report to Pensions Panel. Investment beliefs include RI&E considerations.	Policy in ISS, Pension Board, LGPS Central Investment Director for RI, PAF-RI, Joint Committee, Climate Change Strategy and TCFD reports.	2	3	6 Annual / June 24	Consideration to be given to actions arising from review of FRC UK Stewardship Code plus SAB guidance.		ТВ
	Failure to comply with the FRC UK Stewardship Code	2 5		FRC UK Stewardship Code (Tier 1 signatory to 2016 code), as are all fund managers, accepted as signatory to updated 2020 code in 2023.	2016 Investment regulations, ISS, LGPS Central, mangers contracts contain clause.	2	4	8 Annual / Oct 24	Updated Stewardship Code due 2024, plus SAB guidance		ТВ
	Failure to have a Climate Policy and take into account the impact of climate change on the SAA and subsequent investment returns	4 3		Climate policy produed, Pensions Panel takes into account impact of cliamte change in its investment decisions and setting of SAA, through scenario analysis, RI factors are incorporated in investment beliefs.	Climate risk report, Climate Policy produced, TCFD reporting, Hymans, LGPSC, Scenario analysis, SAA review incorporates climate change roadmap, Climate Stewardship Plan.	4	2	8 Apr-25			ТВ
	Failure to meet TCFD reporting requirements and understand the associated climate metrics	3 3	3 9	TCFD report is produced annualy and metrics are monitored. LGPS Central produce metrics and data required for the fund.	Pensions Committee, Pensions Panel, Pensions Board, Hymans, LGPSC, SAB, DLUHC	3	2	6 Apr-24	Will become mandator for LGPS funds.	1	ТВ
	Failure to have all fund managers signed up the UNPRI Code of Practice	3 3	3 9	All fund managers signed up to UNPRI.	LGPS Central, manager contracts contain clause	2	3	6 Annual			ТВ
	Failure of LGPS Central/mangers to report engagement actions quarterly	3 3	3 9	All fund managers/LGPS Central report quarterly on Voting and Engagement. Quarterly report to Pensions Panel.	Manger reports, LGPS Central Investment Director for RI, LGPS Central Joint Committee (Hermes)	2	3	6 Quarterly	All public fund managers report quarterly, increasingly private market managers are too		Pensions Panel
	Failure to report RI&E issues to the Pension Panel regularly			All fund managers/LGPS Central report quarterly on Voting and Engagement. Quarterly report to Pensions	Pension Panel reports, Pension Board	2		6 Ourstants			Pensions Panel
	Failure to collaborate on RI&E issues	3 3		Panel. Member of LAPFF, cross pool RI&E working group and LGPS Central.	Member of LAPFF, LGPS Central	2	3	6 Quarterly 6 Ongoing			TB/ Pensions Panel

		Failure to integrate Climate change and the transition to low carbon economy into the investment portfolio.	4	3	LAPFF, LGPS Central and fund managers liaise directly with companies on climate change issues, SAA takes Climate Change into account, Climate Change Strategy in place.		3	2	Review climate risk reporting output from LGPS central, Consider wider implications of Climate risk on the fund, eg funding, employers etc. Investment consultant climate roadmap.	TB/ Pensions Panel
	2.6 Failure to minimise		-			Procurement using plublic procurement rules	-			
2.6 To minimise fee levels and total expense ratios consistent with performance targets i.e. active / passive	manager fees and	Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to include fees as part of a competitive procurement process			Competitive tender process, use of framework, joint procurement	and/or expert external adviser, consideration of performance net of fees, LGPSC cost savings				Pensions Panel
			3	3	9	model. CEM benchmarking, including value add, Total	2	2	4 Ad hoc	
		Failure to benchmark fees and expenses annually at fund level with appropriate benchmark			Benchmark fees/expenses at fund level	expense ratio, Peer Benchmarking, CIPFA annual report guidance, consideration of				Pensions Committee
			3	3	9 Account for fees	performance net of fees Accounts in accordance	2	2	4 Annual	
		Failure to report fees to the Pension Committee and in the Annual Report	0		transparently, Report fees to Pension Committee and in Annual Report (open to	guidance, Audit, Pension Committee, Pension	0		2 A	Pensions Committee
		Failure of SPF/LGPS Central to consider	2	3	6 scrutiny) Performance related fees considered as part of	Board Fee basis based on individual or sub-fund level reported to Pension	2	3	6 Annual	Pensions
		whether performance related fees may be appropriate			competitive manager appointment	Panel, advisers views taken, consideration of performance net of fees,				Panel
	0.7.0		3	3	9	legal due diligence Shareholders approve	2	2	4 Ad hoc	
2.7 Ensure the efficient transfer of assets to and the running costs of LGPS Central	2.7 Operating costs of the pool exceed budget, staff impacted and anticipated savings do not materialise, impacting Fund performance	Pick that the operating costs of the pool are	4	4	Budgets for operating costs are in place, monitored and there is a cost sharing mechanism in place.		4	4 1	6 Mar-25	Shareholde rs Forum & PAF
		Risk of SPF/LGPS Central losing key personnel and knowledge.	·		Ensure other members of staff know how to do all roles and are aware of work on going, including within	Regular strategy and planning meetings to schedule work and priorities, generic job	·		==	MS/Team
			4	4	LGPS Central	descriptions, succession planning, PAF-IWG	3	4 1	2 Mar-25	
		Failure of LGPS Central to deliver the services set out in their Buisness Plan and within agreed timescales to provide SPF with expected level of service for BAU and			There is a business plan and budget approved by shareholders, FCA oversight, Senior manager	monitoring, LGPSC joint committee, PAF, budget monitoring, shareholders				Pensions Committee/ MS
		development.	4	4	regime, LGPSC board. Transition plans are in place senior management team of	forum Shareholders forum, LGPS central joint	4	3	2 ongoing	
		Risk that the forecast savings from pooling do not materialise, impacting the performance of the fund.			LGPS central will monitor fees and have processes in place. SPF input via shareholders forum, LGPS central joint committee and practitioners advisery forum. Cost savings model is used	committee and practitioners advisery forum. Savings are reported. CEM are in place for Benchmarking, use of transition adviser			Strategic direction of LGPS Central to be reviewed.	Shareholde rs Forum
			4	4	for monitoring.	and transition manager.	4	4 1	<mark>6</mark> Ongoing	

Failure to have appropriate transition arrangements in place when required, to ensure the continued security of assets and efficient and cost effective transfer of assets into LGPS Central.	5	4 20	Transition manager is appointed by LGPS central/SPF	Assistance of Transition adviser if appointed. Custody records and investment team reconciliations and LGPS Central Custodian. PDLG. External and internal audit working group assurance of transitions.	4	3 1	2 Ongoing		Joint committee, PAF, TB team
Regulatory Changes in relation to asset pooling impacting LGPS Central or SPF	5	2 10	Regulatory change is monitored and consulatations are responded to.	DLUHC, Pensions Committee, Hymans, cross pool working groups.	5		0 ongoing	Pooling guidance awaited	Pensions Committee
Potential for concentration of asset management services at LGPS Central	5	2 10	Northern trust depository, segregation of assets, diversified SAA, Regulatory business plan.	Shareholders forum, FCA, Northern Trust as Custodian, Internal/External Audit, AAF/0106	5	2 1	0 ongoing		Pensions Committee
Divergence of another Partner Fund from the LGPS Central pool's shared objectives/vision which may result in e.g. increased costs, lack of assets classes in which to invest, viablity of pool, resources spread too thinly.	3	4 12	PAF various working groups, product development protocol, decision tree, Inter authority agreement, shared objectives,	Shareholders agreement, IAA, regulation, regulatory business plan, LGPSC budgets, 5 year pool plan, costsharing model	3	4 1	2 ongoing	Impact on resouces needs to be considered, not just direct costs	Pensions Committee